

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.U. Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/647,772	11/06/2002	Noritsugu Yamasaki	06501-065001	5741	
7590 08/03/2004			ЕХАМ	EXAMINER	
Janis K Fraser			STOCKTON, LAURA		
Fish & Richardson 225 Franklin Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Boston, MA 02110-2804			1626		

DATE MAILED: 08/03/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

7		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/647,772	YAMASAKI ET AL.				
Office Action Summ	ary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D.	1626				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communicatio	on(s) filed on <u>16 Ju</u>	ıl <u>y 2004</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL .							
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected t	•						
10) The drawing(s) filed on	·	•					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing R Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Paper No(s)/Mai 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	al Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/647,772

Art Unit: 1626

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-4 are pending in the application.

Response to Amendment

The After-final Amendment filed July 16, 2004 has been entered.

The finality of the previous Office Action dated April 20, 2004 is withdrawn.

The indicated allowability of claims 1-4 is withdrawn in view of the reference(s) to Pamukcu et al. {U.S. Pat. 6,410,584} and Yamasaki et al. {WO 98/15530}. Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

In claim 3, the last compound, "2chlorobenzyl" should be changed to "2-chlorobenzyl".

Art Unit: 1626

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 2 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Yamasaki et al. {WO 98/15530}.

Yamasaki et al. disclose, for example, compound 166 (see Figure 28), which anticipates the instant claims.

Claims 1, 2 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Pamukcu et al. {U.S. Pat. 6,410,584}.

Pamukcu et al. disclose, for example, compound 93 (see Figure 13), which anticipates the instant claims.

Application/Control Number: 09/647,772

Art Unit: 1626

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamasaki et al. {WO 98/15530} and Pamukcu et al. {U.S. Pat. 6,410,584}.

Determination of the scope and content of the prior art (MPEP §2141.01)

Applicants claim indole compounds. Yamasaki et al. (pages 3-4; and especially compound 166 in Figure 28) and Pamukcu et al. (columns 3-5; and especially compound 93 in Figure 13) each teach indole compounds that are either structurally the same as (see above 102 rejections) or structurally similar to the instant claimed compounds.

Application/Control Number: 09/647,772

Art Unit: 1626

Ascertainment of the difference between the prior art and the claims (MPEP §2141.02)

The difference between some of the compounds in the prior art and the compounds instantly claimed is that the instant claimed compounds are generically described in the prior art.

Finding of prima facie obviousness--rational and motivation (MPEP §2142-2413)

The indiscriminate selection of "some" among "many" is *prima facie* obvious, *In re Lemin*, 141 USPQ 814 (1964). The motivation to make the claimed compounds derives from the expectation that structurally similar compounds would possess similar activity (e.g., inhibiting neoplastic cells).

One skilled in the art would thus be motivated to prepare products embraced by the prior art to arrive at the instant claimed products with the expectation of obtaining additional beneficial products which would be useful in treating precancerous lesions. The instant claimed invention would have been suggested to one skilled in the art and therefore, the instant claimed invention would have been obvious to one skilled in the art.

Art Unit: 1626

easta . 🕶 🕏

Applicant cannot rely upon the foreign priority papers to overcome these rejections because a translation of said papers has not been made of record in accordance with 37 CFR 1.55. See MPEP § 201.15.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Laura L. Stockton whose telephone number is (571) 272-0710. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 6:15 am to 2:45 pm. If the examiner is out of the Office, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph McKane, can be reached on (571) 272-0699.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

The Official fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D.

Patent Examiner

Art Unit 1626, Group 1620

Technology Center 1600 •